

### Communication

# Regiospecific Functionalization of Methyl C-H Bonds of Alkyl Groups in Reagents with Heteroatom Functionality

Joshua D. Lawrence, Makoto Takahashi, Chulsung Bae, and John F. Hartwig

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126 (47), 15334-15335• DOI: 10.1021/ja044933x • Publication Date (Web): 06 November 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 5, 2009

$$FG \xrightarrow[n]{} H \xrightarrow{Cp^*Rh(\eta^4-C_6Me_6)} FG \xrightarrow[n]{} FG \xrightarrow[n]{} FG \xrightarrow[n]{} FG \xrightarrow[n]{} OH$$

$$FG=CH_3C(OR)_2-, F-, R_2N-, RO-$$

$$KHF_2 FG \xrightarrow[n]{} BF_3K$$

### **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 12 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 11/06/2004

## Regiospecific Functionalization of Methyl C-H Bonds of Alkyl Groups in Reagents with Heteroatom Functionality

Joshua D. Lawrence, Makoto Takahashi, Chulsung Bae, and John F. Hartwig\*

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, P.O. Box 208107, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8107

Received August 22, 2004; E-mail: john.hartwig@yale.edu

Most of the current regioselective, catalytic functionalizations of saturated C-H bonds occur by directed processes.¹ In these processes, the catalyst or reagent docks at a functional group or reacts at C-H bonds that are located α to a heteroatom because these bonds are weaker than more distal ones.¹c,d,h,² If a reaction could be developed that occurs regioselectively with primary C-H bonds³ while tolerating heteroatom functionality, then a new type of process could result. This process would complement the directed chemistry because it would occur at unactivated C-H bonds with selectivities for primary vs secondary C-H bonds that are independent of the position of the functional group in the reagent.⁴

We have reported the regiospecific reaction of bis(pinacolato)-diborane(4) ( $B_2pin_2$ ) and pinacolborane (HBpin) with the terminal C–H bonds of alkanes catalyzed by  $Cp*Rh(\eta^4-C_6Me_6)$  (eqs 1 and 2).<sup>5,6</sup> If the boryl group could be installed with similar selectivity at the end of an alkyl chain in a molecule with additional functionality (eq 3), then the versatility of organoborane reagents<sup>7</sup> could be exploited to generate a variety of bifunctional and polyfunctional products with one of the groups at the terminal position of an alkyl chain. We report that the rhodium-catalyzed borylation of methyl C–H bonds is compatible with several heteroatom-containing moieties, that the selectivity for reaction at methyl vs methylene or methine groups occurs without a dependence on the position or the binding ability of the heteroatom, and that the borylated product can be converted to bifunctional alkylarenes, alcohols, or alkylfluoroborates directly in the crude reaction mixture.

$$\begin{array}{c} R \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{H} + B_{2}pin_{2} & \frac{Cp^{*}Rh(\eta^{4}-C_{6}Me_{6})}{150 \ ^{\circ}C} & R \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{Bpin} + HBpin \ \, (1) \\ \textbf{excess} \\ R \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{H} + HBpin & \frac{Cp^{*}Rh(\eta^{4}-C_{6}Me_{6})}{150 \ ^{\circ}C} & R \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{Bpin} + H_{2} \quad \, (2) \\ \textbf{excess} \\ FG \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{H} + B_{2}pin_{2} & \frac{Cp^{*}Rh(\eta^{4}-C_{6}Me_{6})}{150 \ ^{\circ}C} & FG \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{Bpin} + HBpin \ \, (3) \\ \textbf{excess} \\ B_{2}pin_{2} = \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{B-B} G \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{B-B} G \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{HBpin} = HB G \underset{n}{\longleftarrow}_{B} G \underset{n}$$

Rhodium-catalyzed borylations<sup>5</sup> of the pinacol acetal of 2-hexanone, *tert*-butyl-protected ethanol, 1-fluorooctane, (perfluoro-n-octyl)ethane, tributylamine, and N-ethyl piperidine are summarized in Table 1. Reactions of B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub> with an excess of these reactants without solvent gave the alkylboronate ester and HBpin in > 70% yield. (Cp\*RhCl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Cp\*Rh( $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>-ethylene)<sub>2</sub>, and Cp\*Rh( $\eta$ <sup>4</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>) (1) all catalyzed these reactions, but 1 was the most active.

The borylation of C-H bonds with  $B_2pin_2$  generates an equivalent of HBpin. HBpin reacts with neat linear alkanes to generate a second equivalent of borylated product and  $H_2$ , but little reaction between HBpin and the acetal, ether, or either fluoroalkane was observed. Thus, the yields in Table 1 refer to those for the reaction of  $B_2pin_2$  to form RBpin and HBpin. In contrast, borylation of the amines with HBpin was observed, as indicated by the consumption of HBpin and the formation of >100% yield of

Table 1. Rhodium-Catalyzed Terminal Borylation of Alkyl Groups<sup>a</sup>

| Reactant             | Product                               | reactant:<br>B <sub>2</sub> pin <sub>2</sub> | mol% 1 | Yield(%)b       |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------|-----------------|
| >0~                  | O                                     | 10:1   | 5      | 91              |
|                      | /  55                                 | 1:1  | 10     | 48°             |
| <b>)</b>             | <b>\</b>                              | 10:1   | 5      | 74              |
| $\times$             | Bpin                                  | 1:2  | 17     | 70              |
| F                    | F <sub>7</sub> Bpin                   | 10:1   | 5      | 83 <sup>d</sup> |
| ` '7                 |                                       | 1:2  | 10     | 46              |
| $n-C_8F_{17}$        | n-C <sub>8</sub> F <sub>17</sub> Bpin | 10:1   | 5      | 90              |
|                      |                                       | 1:2  | 10     | 84              |
| $Bu_2N_{\checkmark}$ | Bu <sub>2</sub> N Pnin                | 10:1   | 5      | 75°             |
| ` '3                 | Bpin Bpin                             | 1:1  | 10     | 33              |
|                      | N——Bpin                               | 10:1   | 5      | 55e             |
|                      |                                       | 1:2  | 10     | 67              |

<sup>a</sup> Conditions: B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub>, **1**, neat, 150 °C, 24 h. <sup>b</sup> Yields calculated by GC areas. <sup>c</sup> In cyclohexane solvent (3 equiv). <sup>d</sup> 140 °C, 12 h. <sup>e</sup> Yield based on conversion to H<sub>2</sub>.

product based on the single-step reaction of  $B_2pin_2$  with R-H to form the alkylboronate ester and HBpin.

The acetal, ether, and alkyl fluoride contain several types of C–H bonds that could undergo borylation. Only the hydrogens of the methyl group of the butyl chain in the acetal reacted, as determined by GC–MS of the crude reaction and NMR spectroscopy of the isolated products. Similarly, no product from activation of the hydrogens of the *tert*-butyl substituent or hydrogens  $\alpha$  to oxygen in the ether was observed by these techniques. More surprising, no product was observed from functionalization of 1-fluorooctane  $\alpha$  to fluorine. Reaction at the hydrogens  $\alpha$  to fluorine might have occurred competitively with reaction at the methyl group because fluorine is small and the  $\alpha\text{-C-H}$  bonds are weaker and more acidic than the methyl C–H bonds. Reaction of a substrate with equivalent methyl groups, such a triethylamine, did generate a mixture of mono- and difunctionalized products when the organic substrate was the limiting reagent.

$$FG \xrightarrow[n]{} H + \frac{B_2 pin_2}{excess} \frac{Cp^*Rh(\eta^4 - C_6Me_6)}{150 \text{ °C}} FG \xrightarrow[n]{} Bpin + HBpin (4)$$

The use of methyl borylation in a synthetic sequence would require that the organic substrate be the limiting reagent, as shown in eq 4. Reactions in cyclohexane solvent occurred with the reagent and not the alkane, but reactions in the absence of a solvent with 10-17 mol % **1** (Table 1) generally occurred in higher yields (see Supporting Information). These reactions were limited by conversion; the balance of the material consisted of the two unreacted starting materials. B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>3</sub>, pinBOBpin, or both were formed eventually from the B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub>. Nevertheless, substantial yields of functionalized product were obtained under conditions of limiting ether, acetal, amine, or fluoroalkane substrate.

#### Scheme 1

Et O Bu 
$$\frac{1}{B_2pin_2}$$
 Et O  $\frac{1}{B_3}$  Bpin + Bpin O Bu  $\frac{1}{B_2pin_2}$  Et D  $\frac{1}{A_2pin_2}$  Bpin + Bpin O Bu + Bu O  $\frac{1}{A_2pin_2}$  Bpin + C<sub>8</sub>F<sub>17</sub> Bpin + C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> Bpin Bpin + C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>13</sub> Bpin Bpin + C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>13</sub> B

### Scheme 2

$$FG \xrightarrow[]{Cp*Rh(\eta^4-C_6Me_6)} FG \xrightarrow[]{FG} FG \xrightarrow[]{Rh(\eta^4-C_6Me_6)} FG \xrightarrow[]{R$$

The difference between the reactions with excess of substrate and with substrate as limiting reagent can be rationalized by the relative rates for reaction of an unsaturated rhodium boryl intermediate with the HBpin side product and with the organic reagent. In separate work,<sup>8</sup> we have shown that the catalytic process occurs by dissociation of HBpin from Cp\*Rh(Bpin)2(H)(X) to generate Cp\*Rh(Bpin)(X) (X = H, Bpin) and that the partitioning of this intermediate between reaction with alkane and HBpin affects the rate. When the organic substrate is neat and present in excess quantities, it competes more effectively with HBpin for the binding site on the catalyst than when it is the limiting reagent. In this case, the conversion of the organic substrate to the alkylboronate ester is higher.

To investigate the electronic effects of the heteroatoms on the borylation process, we conducted reactions in ethyl butyl ether and in N,N-diethyl-3-aminopentane. As shown in Scheme 1, the reactions occurred preferentially at the methyl group closer to the heteroatom, and the effect of the more electronegative oxygen in the ether was larger than that of the more coordinating and basic nitrogen in the amine. The same selectivity was observed from an intermolecular competition between ethyl butyl ether and dibutyl ether, after correcting for the ratio of alkyl groups. Consistent with preferential reaction at the less electron-rich methyl group, reaction of a mixture of (perfluoro-n-octyl)ethane and octane formed a 94:6 ratio of products that favored borylation of the fluoroalkane.

To exploit the diverse reactivity of organoboranes, we developed procedures to conduct sequential borylations of alkyl groups and conversion of the boronate esters to alkylarenes,9 alcohols, and alkyltrifluoroborates, which are more reactive than boronate esters<sup>10</sup> (Scheme 2 and Table 2). The coupling of alkyl boronate esters with aryl halides is not straightforward, and previous coupling of pinacol alkylboronic esters required thallium<sup>9b</sup> or alkyllithium<sup>9c</sup> reagents. However, treatment of the crude reaction with 4-tert-butylbromobenzene, 1,1'-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ferrocene, Pd(dba)2, and base led to a moderate to excellent yield of product from sequential C-H functionalization and cross-coupling (entries 1-3). Alternatively, the products from the functionalization process were converted to the corresponding alcohol by addition of aqueous alkaline H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (entry 4) to the crude reaction solution or to the corresponding alkyl trifluoroborates by analogous addition of methanolic KHF<sub>2</sub> (entries 5 and 6).

In summary, we have shown that molecules containing nitrogen, oxygen, and fluorine undergo rhodium-catalyzed C-H activation and borylation at the least hindered and least electron-rich methyl

Table 2. Tandem Functionalization of Methyl Groups

| Entry | Reactant                         | Product                                      | Cond.a | Yield(%)          |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|--------|-------------------|
| 1     | $\rightarrow$ 0 $\checkmark$     | C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> - <i>t</i> -Bu | A,B    | 87 <sup>b</sup>   |
| 2     | $F_{\widetilde{V_7}}$            | $F \longrightarrow C_6H_4$ -t-Bu             | A,C    | 29 <sup>b</sup>   |
| 3     | n-C <sub>8</sub> F <sub>17</sub> | $n-C_8F_{17}$ $C_6H_4-t-Bu$                  | A,B    | 64 <sup>b</sup>   |
| 4     | <b>&gt;</b>                      | 0<br>0<br>0<br>O<br>O<br>O<br>O<br>O         | A,D    | 68°               |
| 5     | >0~                              | $O \sim BF_3K$                               | A,E    | 86°               |
| 6     | N                                | N-BF <sub>3</sub> K                          | A,E    | 69 <sup>c,d</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> Conditions: (A) B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub>, 5 mol % 1, neat, 150 °C; (B) 1-bromo-4-tertbutylbenzene (2 equiv), CsOH (4 equiv), Pd(dba)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %), and Fc(PiPr2)2 (10 mol %) in toluene, 100 °C; (C) Same as B, but CsF and DMF used in place of CsOH and toluene; (D) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and KOH in THF and H<sub>2</sub>O; (E) KHF<sub>2</sub> in MeOH. <sup>b</sup> Yields calculated by GC. <sup>c</sup> Yields calculated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>d</sup> Yield based on the reaction of B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub> with R-H to form R-Bpin and H2.

group. The products from these reactions can be converted directly from the crude reactions to alcohols, alkylarenes, and alkyltrifluoroborates.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the NSF (CHE-03019071) and Shell Chemicals for support of this work. J.L. thanks the NIH (GM069172) for a postdoctoral fellowship, and M.T. thanks Mitsubishi for partial support.

Supporting Information Available: Procedures for synthesis and characterization of reaction products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### References

- (1) (a) Espino, C. G.; Wehn, P. M.; Chow, J.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6935.
   (b) Kakiuchi, F.; Murai, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 826.
   (c) Chatani, N.; Asaumi, T.; Yorimitsu, S.; Ikeda, T.; Kakiuchi, F.; Murai, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10935. (d) Davies, H. M. L.; Beckwith, R. E. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2861. (e) Johnson, J. A.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 6321. (f) Dangel, B. D.; Johnson, J. A.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 8149. (g) Johnson, J. A.; Li, N.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 6900. (h) Dick, A. R.; Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2300.
- (2) Luo, Y. R. Handbook of Bond Dissociation Energies in Organic Compounds; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
- (3) (a) Goldberg, K. I., Goldman, A. S., Eds. Activation and Functionalization of C-H Bonds; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2004; Vol. 885. (b) Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. Nature 2002, 417, 507. (c) Crabtree, R. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 2437. (d) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2879. (e) Arndtsen, B. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Mobley, T. A.; Peterson, T. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1995**,
- (4) Ethyl sulfonates, carboxylates, and phosphates undergo platinum-catalyzed oxidation at the terminal methyl group, but higher analogues react at the  $\omega$  and  $\omega-1$  positions: Lin, M.; Shen, C.; Garcia-Zayas, E. A.; Sen, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 1000.
- (5) Chen, H.; Schlecht, S.; Semple, T. C.; Hartwig, J. F. Science 2000, 287,
- (6) For concurrent borylation of arenes, see: (a) Iverson, C. N.; Smith, M. R., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7696. (b) Shimada, S.; Batsanov,
   A. S.; Howard, J. A. K.; Marder, T. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2168.
- (7) (a) Ramachandran, P. V., Brown, H. C., Eds. Organoboranes for Syntheses; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2001; Vol. 783. (b) Pelter, A., Smith, K., Brown, H. C. Borane Reagents; Academic: London, 1988.
- Hartwig, J. F.; Cook, K. S.; Hapke, M.; Incarvito, C. D.; Fan, Y.; Webster, C. E.; Hall, M. B. Submitted for publication.
- (a) Chemler, S. R.; Trauner, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4544. (b) Sato, M.; Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, 1405. (c) Zou, G.; Falck, J. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 5817. (a) Fang, G.-H.; Yan, Z.-J.; Deng, M.-Z. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 357. (b) Molander, G. A.; Yun, C.-S.; Ribagorda, M.; Biolatto, B. *J. Org. Chem.*
- 2003, 68, 5534.

JA044933X